



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2020

Stichting Pensioenfonds Werk en (re)Integratie





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2020 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
•	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index



Organisa	ational Overview							Principle			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6		
OO TG	·	8	n/a								
00 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							√	
00 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓	
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓	
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓	
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							√	
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓	
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	✓	Private							✓	
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	✓	Private							✓	
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓	
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓	
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓	
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓	
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓	
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓	
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓	
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓	
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	8	n/a							✓	
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Private							√	
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	8	n/a							✓	
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	8	n/a							✓	
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	8	n/a							✓	
00 PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	8	n/a							✓	
00 PR 03	Largest property types	8	n/a							✓	
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓	
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	8	n/a							✓	
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a							✓	
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	8	n/a							✓	
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-								

CCStrate	CCStrategy and Governance				Principle			General		
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	✓	Private							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	✓	Private							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		8	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14 CC		✓	Private							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	~						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	✓	Public							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Indirect -	Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring				Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SAM 01	ESG incorporation strategies	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 02	Selection processes (LE and FI)	✓	Public	✓						
SAM 03	Evaluating engagement and voting practices in manager selection (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a		~					
SAM 04	Appointment processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	~						
SAM 05	Monitoring processes (listed equity/fixed income)	✓	Public	~						
SAM 06	Monitoring on active ownership (listed equity/fixed income)	8	n/a	~						
SAM 07	Percentage of (proxy) votes	8	n/a		✓					
SAM 08	Percentage of externally managed assets managed by PRI signatories	✓	Private	~						
SAM 09	Examples of ESG issues in selection, appointment and monitoring processes	✓	Public	~					✓	
SAM End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - I	Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership					rin	General			
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confider	Confidence building measures				Principle					General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year`s PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	8	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	8	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							



Stichting Pensioenfonds Werk en (re)Integratie

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Basic	information			
00 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General
00 01	.1 Select the type that best describe	es your organisation	or the services you provide.	
○ Cor ○ Inst ○ Fot ○ End ○ Dev ○ Res ○ Far ○ Oth	n-corporate pension or superannuation or porate pension or superannuation or retire urance company undation downent velopment finance institution serve - sovereign or government controlled nily office er, specify	ement or provident fu	und or plan	
OO 02	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
00 02	.1 Select the location of your organic	sation's headquarter	S.	
Nethe	rlands			
00 02	.2 Indicate the number of countries	in which you have of	fices (including your headquarters	s).
● 1○ 2-5○ 6-1○ >10				
00 02	.3 Indicate the approximate number	of staff in your organ	nisation in full-time equivalents (F	TE).
	FTE			
9	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
00 03	.1 Indicate whether you have subsic their own right.	diaries within your org	ganisation that are also PRI signa	tories in
○ Yes No				
OO 04	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	General

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2019

00 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		9	717	829	000
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD		10	711	975	513

 $[\]hfill\square$ Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

00 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	43.4
Fixed income	0	41.8
Private equity	0	0.8
Property	0	7.3
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0.2
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	6.5
Other (2), specify	0	0

 $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ as broad ranges

OO 06.2

Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].



OO 06.3

Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

O Yes

No

OO 06.5

Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09		Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
	OO 09.1	Indicate the breakdown of your o	rganisation's AUM by	r market.	
		Developed Markets			
	84				
		Emerging Markets			
	16				
		Frontier Markets			
	0				
		Other Markets			
	0				
		Total 100%			

Asset class implementation gateway indicators OO 10 Mandatory Public Gateway General OO 10.1 Select the active ownership activities your organisation implemented in the reporting year.

Listed equity - engagement

- ☑ We engage with companies on ESG factors via our staff, collaborations or service providers.
- ☐ We require our external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors on our behalf.
- ☐ We do not engage directly and do not require external managers to engage with companies on ESG factors.



100%

Listed equity – voting			
☑ We cast our (proxy) votes directly or via deleter to the control of the co	edicated voting pro	oviders	
$\hfill\square$ We require our external managers to vote	on our behalf.		
$\hfill \square$ We do not cast our (proxy) votes directly a	nd do not require	external managers to vote or	n our behalf
Fixed income SSA – engage	ment		
☑ We engage with SSA bond issuers on E	SG factors via ou	r staff, collaborations or servi	ce providers.
☐ We require our external managers to en	gage with SSA bo	and issuers on ESG factors of	n our behalf.
☐ We do not engage directly and do not reESG factors. Please explain why you do not		nagers to engage with SSA b	oond issuers on
Fixed income Corporate (fina	ancial) – engagem	ent	
☑ We engage with companies on ESG fac	ctors via our staff,	collaborations or service prov	viders.
\square We require our external managers to en	gage with compar	nies on ESG factors on our b	ehalf.
$\hfill\Box$ We do not engage directly and do not refactors. Please explain why you do not.	equire external ma	nagers to engage with compa	anies on ESG
Fixed income Corporate (nor	n-financial) – enga	gement	
☑ We engage with companies on ESG fac	ctors via our staff,	collaborations or service prov	viders.
☐ We require our external managers to en	gage with compar	nies on ESG factors on our be	ehalf.
☐ We do not engage directly and do not refactors. Please explain why you do not.	equire external ma	nagers to engage with comp	anies on ESG
Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
Mandatory Select the externally managed as address ESG incorporation in you	ssets classes in w	hich you and/or your investm	ent consultants

processes.



Asset class	ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
Listed equity	
	Listed equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - SSA	
	Fixed income - SSA - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
ixed income - corporate	
(financial)	Fixed income - corporate (financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Fixed income - corporate	
(non-financial)	Fixed income - corporate (non-financial) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Private equity	
	Private equity - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process
	☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation
Property	



	Property - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes				
	 ☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process ☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process ☑ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process ☐ We do not do ESG incorporation 				
Cash	Cash - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes				
	 □ We incorporate ESG into our external manager selection process □ We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process □ We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process ☑ We do not do ESG incorporation 				
Other (1)	Other (1) - ESG incorporation addressed in your external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes We incorporate ESG into our external manager appointment process We incorporate ESG into our external manager monitoring process				
	☐ We do not do ESG incorporation				

OO 11.3b

If your organisation does not integrate ESG factors into investment decisions on your externally managed assets, explain why not.

Cash is not managed externally and held within bank account

00 11.4

Provide a brief description of how your organisation includes responsible investment considerations in your investment manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes.

Responsible investment considerations form part of our process for selecting managers. A manager that displays integrity of thought and soundness of process, while seeking to optimise performance, will be more likely to run their portfolios in a principled manner. When asking questions regarding ESG, we enquire about the manager's own voting standards and whether they subscribe to the UNPRI. In addition, managers need to be willing to work with the Responsible Investment team of BMO Global Asset Management and respect their stock exclusions list. On segregated accounts, BMO Global Asset Management manages the voting process, while certain stocks can be excluded according our requirements. Appointed managers will be continually monitored to ensure compliance with requirements.

On the private equity side the underlying managers of the funds are monitored and questioned on their management of ESG issues. In the private equity asset class many managers are at an early stage in terms of explicitly incorporating the management of ESG into their process. Therefore F&C Private Equity, supported by the Governance and Sustainable Investment team within BMO Global Asset Management, is working to encourage progress in this area. We have twice surveyed all the General Partners we invest in to ask specific questions around their management of ESG issues, and are regularly tracking progress.

PWRI invests in this fund of funds which primarily invests in private equity funds and project-focused funds across nine climate change themes: Alternative energy, Energy efficiency, Sustainable mobility, Waste, Advanced materials, Forestry and agriculture, Water, Acclimatisation and Supporting services. The Fund closed to new investment commitments in April 2013 with commitments of €30m. The Private Equity team draws on the in-house expertise of BMO's Governance & Sustainable Investment team as part of the investment due diligence process.



PWRI requires their property managers to be member of GRESB, an industry-driven organization committed to assessing the sustainability performance of real assets around the globe, including real estate portfolios.

The pension fund's property manager has specific sustainable criteria for acquiring new real estate objects (energy labels, GPR gebouw, Lente-akkoord etc). The pension fund's property manager has specific goals on this. For instance to make sure all offices will have an energy label, give advice to tenants how they can make use of their shops in a more sustainable way.

This is also part of the annual report of its property manager SAREF, which is available at request.

00 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General		
00 12.	.1 report (asset classes repres	Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box. Core modules				
	Core modules					
✓O	Organisational Overview					
✓S	trategy and Governance					
	RI implementation directly	or via service providers				
	Direct - Listed Equity ac	ctive ownership				
V	I Engagements					
V	(Proxy) voting					
	RI implementation via exte	ernal managers				
	Indirect - Selection, App	pointment and Monitoring	of External Managers			
\checkmark	Listed Equities					
	I Fixed income - SSA					
\checkmark	Fixed income - Corporate (financia	ıl)				
\checkmark	$\overline{\!$					
	✓ Private Equity					
V	Property					
	Closing module					



☑ Closing module

Stichting Pensioenfonds Werk en (re)Integratie

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Investment policy

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	O Applicable policies cover all AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☑ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☑ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☑ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☑ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ Engagement policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following		
	anisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments		
✓ Your inve	estment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account		
☑ Time hori	zon of your investment		
☑ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities			
☑ ESG incorporation approaches			
☑ Active ownership approaches			
	J		
☑ Climate c	hange		
☑ Understar	nding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences		
☐ Other RI	considerations, specify (1)		

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

PWRI is a long term investor

The investments are consistent with the liabilities and the (real) ambitions

There is a premium for less liquid investments

☐ Other RI considerations, specify (2)

Keep it simple

Markets with high real growth deliver higher returns

Socially responsible investing pays off

We are capable to take investment decisions by taking into consideration aspects of return, risk, costs and ESG

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

PWRI has two focus points that play a role in the investment strategy. These two points are climate risk and social inclusion. Both are implemented in the portfolio.

 \bigcirc No

SG 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

☑ Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

☑ URL



URL http://www.pwri.nl/mijn-pensioenfonds/verantwoord-beleggen/ ☐ Attachment (will be made public) ☑ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors **URL/Attachment ☑** URL **URL** http://www.pwri.nl/mijn-pensioenfonds/verantwoord-beleggen/ ☐ Attachment (will be made public) ☑ Formalised guidelines on social factors **URL/Attachment ☑** URL URL http://www.pwri.nl/mijn-pensioenfonds/verantwoord-beleggen/ ☐ Attachment (will be made public) ☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors **URL/Attachment ☑** URL URL https://www.pwri.nl/over-pwri/onze-vermogensbeheerder-gaat-in-gesprek ☐ Attachment (will be made public) ☐ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties ☐ Asset class-specific RI guidelines ☐ Sector specific RI guidelines ☑ Screening / exclusions policy



☑ URL

URL/Attachment

URL URL
https://www.pwri.nl/over-pwri/onze-vermogensbeheerder-gaat-in-gesprek
□ Attachment (will be made public)
☐ Attachment (will be made public)
□ Engagement policy☑ (Proxy) voting policy
☑ (FTOXY) Voting policy
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.pwri.nl/client/pwri/upload/pdf/Algemene%20Richtlijnen%20Corporate%20Governance%202017.pdf
☐ Attachment (will be made public)
☐ We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents
SG 02.2 Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.
☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL URL
https://www.pwri.nl/over-pwri/wat-is-maatschappelijk-verantwoord-beleggen
□ Attachment
✓ Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
URL/Attachment
☑ URL
URL
https://www.pwri.nl/over-pwri/onze-beleggingsovertuigingen
□ Attachment



 $\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ Time horizon of your investment

		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
	https://w	ww.pwri.nl/over-pwri/onze-beleggingsovertuigingen
	☐ Attachme	
\checkmark	Governance s	tructure of organisational ESG responsibilities
		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
	https://w	ww.pwri.nl/over-pwri/het-bestuur
	☐ Attachme	
V	ESG incorpora	ation approaches
		URL/Attachment
	☑ URL	
		URL
	https://wv	ww.pwri.nl/over-pwri/onze-vermogensbeheerder-gaat-in-gesprek
_	☐ Attachme	
✓.	Active owners	hip approaches
		URL/Attachment Control of the Contro
	☑ URL	
		URL
	https://ww	ww.pwri.nl/over-pwri/onze-vermogensbeheerder-gaat-in-gesprek
	☐ Attachme	ent
V	Reporting	
		URL/Attachment Control of the Contro
	☑ URL	



URL

https://www.pwri.nl/over-pwri/onze-vermogensbeheerder-gaat-in-gesprek

□ Attachment

☑ Climate change

URL/Attachment

☑ URL

URL

https://www.pwri.nl/over-pwri/onze-eigen-accenten

- ☐ Attachment
- ☐ Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- \square We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

SG 03.1

Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2

Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

All board members and employees of the fund sign annually the code of conduct which covers conflict of interest.

 \bigcirc No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05 Mandatory Public Gateway/Core Assessed General

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- O Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- O Ad-hoc basis
- O It is not set/reviewed



SG 05.2

Additional information. [Optional]

ESG policy is reviewed al least once a year. ESG reports are discussed regularly within investment committee and board.

	e and human resources				
7 Mano	datory	Public	Core Assessed	General	
SG 07.1			our organisation, and indicate for ibilities for responsible investmen		
	Roles				
☑ Board m	nembers or trustees				
☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment					
□ lmp	plementation of responsible inves	stment			
□ No	oversight/accountability or imple	mentation responsib	pility for responsible investment		
	Roles (triggers other options)				
	Select from the below int	ernai roies			
	ief Executive Officer (CEO), Chie ment Committee	ef Investment Officer	(CIO), Chief Operating Officer (C	OO),	
٥	☑ Oversight/accountability for res	sponsible investment	t		
	☐ Implementation of responsible	investment			
	☐ No oversight/accountability or	implementation resp	onsibility for responsible investme	ent	
□ Oth	ner Chief-level staff or head of de	epartment, specify			
□ Por	rtfolio managers				
□ Inv	estment analysts				
□ De	dicated responsible investment s	staff			
□ Inv	estor relations				
□ Oth	ner role, specify (1)				
□ Oth	ner role, specify (2)				
	managers or service providers				
□ Ove	ersight/accountability for respons	sible investment			
☑ Implementation of responsible investment					
	oversight/accountability or imple				

RI is a regular topic on the agenda of the investment committee and the board meetings. Engagement and ESG policy are discussed.

Our service provider reports regulary to us. Reports are discussed in the meetings.



SG 0	7.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.			
		Number			
1					
Pro	noting	responsible investment			
09	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5
SG 0	9.1	Select the collaborative organisation which it participated during the rep			ember or in
Solo	ct all the	at apply			
		for Responsible Investment			
		Your organisation's role in the	initiative during the	reporting period (see definitions)	
	☑ Ba	sic			
	□Мо	oderate			
	□ Ad	vanced			
✓ A:	sian Corp	porate Governance Association			
		Your organisation's role in the	initiative during the	reporting period (see definitions)	
	☑ Ba	sic			
	□Мо	oderate			
	□ Ad	vanced			
		Provide a brief commentary of	n the level of your o	rganisation's involvement in the ir	nitiative
		[Optional]	This level of your o		maavo.
Tł	rough o	ur service provider BMO Global Ass	et Management		
□ Aı	ustralian	Council of Superannuation Investor	S		
		stainability Committee	-		
		/est – La Commission ESG			
		esponsible Investment Advisory Boa	ard		
		ate Change			
	DP Fores				
	DP Wate				
		ute Centre for Financial Market Inte	grity		
		ction 100+			



		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
	☑ Basic	
	☐ Moder	ate
	□ Advan	ced
		Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
	Through our s	ervice provider BMO Global Asset Management
	Code for Resp	ponsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
	Council of Inst	titutional Investors (CII)
V	Eumedion	
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
	☑ Basic	
	☐ Moder	ate
	☐ Advan	ced
	Extractive Ind	ustries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
	ESG Researc	h Australia
	Invest Europe	Responsible Investment Roundtable
V	Global Investo	ors Governance Network (GIGN)
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
	☑ Basic	
	☐ Moder	ate
	☐ Advan	ced
		Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
	Through our s	ervice provider BMO Global Asset Management
V	Global Impact	Investing Network (GIIN)
		Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
	☑ Basic	
	☐ Moder	ate
	☐ Advan	ced



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Through our serices provider BMO Global Asset Management

Through our serious provider bine Global Asset Management
☑ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic
☐ Moderate
☐ Advanced
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
We invest only in funds that are member of GRESB. We monitor the GRESB scores of the investments.
☑ Green Bond Principles
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic
☐ Moderate
☐ Advanced
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
We have a Green Bond mandate. The manager of the mandate BMO GAM is also very active in the Green Bond market.
☐ HKVCA: ESG Committee
☑ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic
☐ Moderate
☐ Advanced
Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
Through our service provider BMO Global Asset Management
☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
☑ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)



Your	organisation's role in the i	nitiative during the	reporting period (see definitions)			
☑ Basic						
☐ Moderate						
☐ Advanced						
	ide a brief commentary on on on on on on on one of the commentary one of the commentary on one of the commentary of the comme	the level of your or	ganisation's involvement in the in	itiative.		
Through our serice p	rovider BMO Global Asset	Management				
☐ Investor Group on Cl	limate Change, Australia/N	lew Zealand (IGCC)			
	ed Reporting Council (IIRC		,			
_	Climate Risk (INCR)/CERE					
☐ Local Authority Pens						
☐ Principles for Financi	ial Action in the 21st Centu	ıry				
☐ Principles for Sustain	nable Insurance					
☑ Regional or National	Social Investment Forums	(e.g. UKSIF, Euros	sif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify			
We are member of V	BDO					
Your	organisation's role in the i	nitiative during the	reporting period (see definitions)			
☑ Basic						
☐ Moderate						
☐ Advanced						
☐ Responsible Finance	Principles in Inclusive Fin	ance				
	tion for Research and Edu					
	onmental Program Finance	e Initiative (UNEP F	TI)			
☐ United Nations Globa	•					
	organisation/initiative, speci	fy				
Signatory of IMVB co	ovenant in the Netherlands					
Your	organisation's role in the i	nitiative during the	reporting year (see definitions)			
☑ Basic						
☐ Moderate						
☐ Advanced						
☐ Other collaborative o	rganisation/initiative, speci	fy				
☐ Other collaborative o	organisation/initiative, speci	fy				
☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify						
Mandatory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4		



SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

SG 10.2	Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.
education of	or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment legal advisers etc.)
☐ Provided	financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
☐ Provided	input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
☐ Encouragindustry	ed better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment
Spoke pu	blicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
	Description
Participat	ed in PRI congress
	Frequency of contribution
○ Qua	rterly or more frequently
○ Bian	nually
O Ann	ually
O Less	s frequently than annually
Ad h	oc
○ Othe	er e
☐ Wrote an	d published in-house research papers on responsible investment
☐ Encourag	ed the adoption of the PRI
☐ Respond	ed to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
☐ Wrote an	d published articles on responsible investment in the media
☑ A membe	er of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
	Description

Vice- chairman of the board is on the PRI board



		Frequency of contrib	ution		
(Quarterl	or more frequently			
(Biannua	ly			
(O Annually				
(C Less fre	quently than annually			
(Ad hoc				
(Other				
□ On	the Board	of, or officially advising	g, other RI organisat	ions (e.g. local SIFs)	
☐ Oth	ner, specify	•			
No					
	:		1	on and the order	
utsourc	ing to fic	uciary managers a	na investment co	onsultants	
M	andatory		Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
G 12.1 ∃ Yes, we	Indicat use inves	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants	sation uses investme		,
12.1 Yes, we	Indicat use inves do not use	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants e whether your organis	sation uses investmess. s. sation considers any		
G 12.1 I Yes, we	Indicat use inves do not use	e whether your organis ment consultants investment consultants	sation uses investmess. s. sation considers any	ent consultants.	
G 12.1 Yes, we No, we G 12.5 Includin	Indicat use inves do not use Indicat the mo	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants e whether your organismitoring of fiduciary mapped investment as a state.	sation uses investments. Sation considers any anagers	of the following responsible inval	vestment factors in
G 12.1 Yes, we No, we G 12.5 Includin Discuss	Indicat use inves do not use Indicat the mo	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants e whether your organismitoring of fiduciary made investment as a starthe fiduciary manage	sation uses investments. sation considers any anagers andard agenda item or has acted in accor	of the following responsible invalue at performance review meeting dance with your organisation's	vestment factors in
G 12.1 Yes, we No, we G 12.5 Includin Discuss eliefs/ str	Indicate use invested not use Indicate the more gresponsing whether the tategy/ policy in the more policy in the more gresponsing whether the tategy/ policy in the more gresponsing whether the tategy/ policy in the more gresponsing whether the tategy/ policy in the tategory in the	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants e whether your organismitoring of fiduciary made investment as a star the fiduciary manage by on responsible investigations.	sation uses investments. sation considers any anagers andard agenda item or has acted in accordance and ESG factors.	of the following responsible invate performance review meeting dance with your organisation's fors	vestment factors in
GG 12.1 ☐ Yes, we ☐ No, we ☐ SG 12.5 ☐ Includin ☐ Discuss peliefs/ str. ☐ Reviewi	Indicat use inves do not use Indicat the mo	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants e whether your organismitoring of fiduciary made in the fiduciary manage by on responsible investigary manager's PRI Trusting of the whole investigation or the fiduciary manager's PRI Trusting or the fiduciary manager's PRI Trusting or the fiduciary manager's PRI Trusting or the whole who is the whole whole who is the whole whole whole who is the whole whole who is the whole whole who is the whole who is the whole who is the whole whole who is the whole who is the whole whole whole w	sation uses investments. Sation considers any anagers andard agenda item or has acted in accordance and ESG factoransparency or Assertans	of the following responsible invalue at performance review meeting dance with your organisation's cors	vestment factors in s overall investment
G 12.1 Yes, we No, we G 12.5 Includin Discuss eliefs/ str. Reviewi Reviewi	Indicate use invested on the use invested on the use Indicate the most of the use Indicate Indicat	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants e whether your organismitoring of fiduciary made in the fiduciary manage by on responsible investigary manager's PRI Triciary manager's responsible investigary manager's responsible investigations in the investigation in	sation uses investments. sation considers any anagers andard agenda item or has acted in according to the street and ESG factor ansparency or Assensible investment re	of the following responsible invate performance review meeting dance with your organisation's fors	vestment factors in s overall investment ed reports)
GG 12.1 ☐ Yes, we ☐ No, we ☐ SG 12.5 ☐ Includin ☐ Discuss peliefs/ str. ☐ Reviewi ☐ Reviewi ☐ Reviewi ☐ Reviewi	Indicate use invested not use Indicate the more gresponsiting whether the tategy/ policing the fiduring the fiduring ESG children	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants e whether your organismitoring of fiduciary made investment as a start he fiduciary manage by on responsible investigary manager's PRI Traciary manager's responsaracteristics/factors us	sation uses investments. Sation considers any anagers andard agenda item of the has acted in according to the sation and ESG factor ansparency or Assemble investment resided by the fiduciary residents.	of the following responsible invalue at performance review meeting dance with your organisation's tors to be essment reports porting (excluding PRI generate	vestment factors in s overall investment ed reports)
GG 12.1 Yes, we No, we Includin Discuss Deliefs/ str. Reviewi Reviewi Reviewi Reviewi	Indicat use inves do not use Indicat the mo g responsi ing whethe ategy/ polic ng the fidu ng the fidu ng the fidu	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants e whether your organismitoring of fiduciary made investment as a start he fiduciary manage by on responsible investigary manager's PRI Traciary manager's responsaracteristics/factors us	sation uses investments. Sation considers any anagers andard agenda item or has acted in accordiment and ESG factor ansparency or Assensible investment reserved by the fiduciary reporation approaches	of the following responsible invalue at performance review meeting dance with your organisation's cors essment reports porting (excluding PRI generate manager in portfolio construction of ESG through-out asset class	vestment factors in s overall investment ed reports)
G 12.1 Yes, we have a long or some	Indicate use invested not use Indicate the more gresponsiting whether attegy/ policing the fiduring the fiduring ESG change the fiduring the impriging your	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants e whether your organismitoring of fiduciary made investment as a starthe fiduciary managery on responsible investiary manager's PRI Traciary manager's responsaracteristics/factors us ciary manager's incorpact of ESG factors on f	sation uses investments. Sation considers any anagers andard agenda item or has acted in according to the street and ESG factor ansparency or Assemble investment researched by the fiduciary reporation approaches financial performance consider joining responses	of the following responsible invalue at performance review meeting dance with your organisation's fors essment reports porting (excluding PRI generate manager in portfolio construction of ESG through-out asset classe exponsible investment initiatives/o	vestment factors in s overall investment ed reports) on ses
G 12.1 Yes, we have a No, we	Indicate use invested not use Indicate the mode of responsions of the fiduling the fiduling the fiduling the fiduling the fiduling the impurity of the impurit	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants investment consultants e whether your organismitoring of fiduciary managers or the fiduciary manager's PRI Traciary manager's responsaracteristics/factors us ciary manager's incorpact of ESG factors on fiduciary managers to conal or collaborative propagatics.	sation uses investments. Sation considers any anagers andard agenda item of the acted in accordance and ESG factor ansparency or Assensible investment researched by the fiduciary reporation approaches financial performance consider joining resprojects with other investments.	of the following responsible invalue at performance review meeting dance with your organisation's fors essment reports porting (excluding PRI generate manager in portfolio construction of ESG through-out asset classe exponsible investment initiatives/o	vestment factors in second or second
G 12.1 Yes, we have a No, we	Indicate use invested on the use invested on the use Indicate the more gresponsion of the fidure of the impurity of the impu	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants investment consultants e whether your organismitoring of fiduciary manager in the fiduciary manager your responsible investiary manager's PRI Trailiary manager's responsaracteristics/factors us ciary manager's incorpact of ESG factors on fiduciary managers to conal or collaborative proble investment criteria and the support of the s	sation uses investments. Sation considers any anagers andard agenda item of the street and ESG factor ansparency or Assemble investment resided by the fiduciary reportation approaches inancial performance consider joining resprojects with other invests as a formal componers.	of the following responsible investment consultants. of the following responsible investment reports porting (excluding PRI generate manager in portfolio construction of ESG through-out asset classes the ponsible investment initiatives/ovestors	vestment factors in s overall investment ed reports) on sees
GG 12.1 ☐ Yes, we ☐ No, we ☐ No, we ☐ Includin ☐ Discuss ☐ Reviewi	Indicate use invested not use invested not use Indicate the more gresponsiting whether attegy/ policing the fiduring the fiduring the fiduring the improgram gresponsiting the fiduring the fiduring the improgram gresponsiting the fiduring t	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants investment consultants e whether your organismitoring of fiduciary manager in the fiduciary manager your responsible investiary manager's PRI Trailiary manager's responsaracteristics/factors us ciary manager's incorpact of ESG factors on fiduciary managers to conal or collaborative proble investment criteria and the support of the s	sation uses investments. Sation considers any anagers andard agenda item or has acted in according acted and ESG factor ansparency or Assensible investment researched by the fiduciary reportation approaches inancial performance consider joining resprojects with other investigation and components are a formal components.	of the following responsible investment reports porting (excluding PRI generate manager in portfolio construction of ESG through-out asset classes ento of overall manager performal manager's selection, appoint and manager's selection, appoint and manager's selection, appoint	vestment factors in s overall investment ed reports) on sees
G 12.1 Yes, we have a No, we	Indicate use invested on the use invested on the use Indicate the modern of the fidulation of the fidu	e whether your organisment consultants investment consultants investment consultants e whether your organismitoring of fiduciary manager investment as a start the fiduciary manager's PRI Traciary manager's PRI Traciary manager's responsible investiary manager's responsaracteristics/factors us ciary manager's incorpact of ESG factors on fiduciary managers to conal or collaborative proble investment criteria acciary manager's ESG incorpact of grant problem investment criteria acciary manager's ESG incorpact of grant problem investment criteria acciary manager's ESG incorpact problem in the problem investment criteria acciary manager's ESG incorpact problem inv	sation uses investments. sation considers any anagers andard agenda item of the sacted in according to the sacted in according to the same and ESG factor ansparency or Assemble investment researched by the fiduciary reportation approaches inancial performance consider joining resprojects with other investment components as a formal components of the same according to the fiduciary material by the fiduciary material as a formal components of the same according to the fiduciary material as a formal components of the same according to the same a	of the following responsible investment reports porting (excluding PRI generate manager in portfolio construction of ESG through-out asset classes ento of overall manager performal manager's selection, appoint and manager's selection, appoint and manager's selection, appoint	vestment factors in s overall investment ed reports) on sees



SG 12.6

Describe the approach you take to monitoring your fiduciary managers and the reason(s) for this approach [Optional].

Monitoring is done on several topics including ESG. Some of the questions that are part of the monitoring are:

- Does the fiduciary give enough support to the fund on ESG policy and implementation.
- How is ESG incorporated in the investment process, e.g. for manager selection
- How is the ESG department organized and what are the developments

ES	ESG issues in asset allocation					
3		Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
SG	13.	1	Indicate whether the organisation caprovide a description of the scenario etc.).			
☑ Yes, in order to assess future ESG factors						
			Describe			
			so called ESG risk scan we identify Es, e.g. by engagement.	ESG risks in our po	rtfolio. Based on this we the	en can mitigate
	Yes,	in ord	der to assess future climate-related ris	sks and opportuniti	es	
	No,	our or	ganisation does not currently carry ou	ıt scenario analysis	and/or modelling	
SG	13.	2	Indicate if your organisation considerates assets between sectors or geograph		strategic asset allocation ar	nd/or allocation of
			We do the following			
	☑ Al	locati	on between asset classes			
[eterm	ining fixed income duration			
[□ Al	locati	on of assets between geographic mar	kets		
[☑ S	ector	weightings			
1		ther, s	specify			
[□W	'e do i	not consider ESG issues in strategic a	asset allocation		
SG	3 13.3	3	Additional information. [OPTIONAL]			
We a	are a	ctively	looking at Social Bonds as a new ass	set class. Within th	e equity portfolio sector we	ightings are

Asset class implementation not reported in other modules



influenced by ESG risk scores.

SG 17 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

SG 17.1

Describe how you address ESG issues for externally managed assets for which a specific PRI asset class module has yet to be developed or for which you are not required to report because your assets are below the minimum threshold.

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Other (1) [as defined in Organisational Overview module]	Monitoring of current Mortgage portfolio managers includes ESG factors. As part of BMO's Private Equity's ongoing monitoring of the fund investments BMO Global Asset Management manages on behalf of its clients (e.g. PWRI), they engage with the managers of the funds on their management of ESG issues. In the private equity asset class many managers are at an early stage in terms of explicitly incorporating the management of ESG into their process. Therefore F&C Private Equity, supported by the Governance and Sustainable Investment team within BMO Global Asset Management, is working to encourage progress in this area. We have twice surveyed all the General Partners we invest in to ask specific questions around their management of ESG issues, and are regularly tracking progress.
	PWRI invests in a fund of funds which primarily invests in private equity funds and project-focused funds across nine climate change themes: Alternative energy, Energy efficiency, Sustainable mobility, Waste, Advanced materials, Forestry and agriculture, Water, Acclimatisation and Supporting services. The Fund closed to new investment commitments in April 2013 with commitments of €30m. The Private Equity team draws on the in-house expertise of BMO's Governance & Sustainable Investment team as part of the investment due diligence process.

Communication

SG 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

Do you disclose?

- O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same

Yes

 \bigcirc No



Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
☐ How responsible investment considerations are included in manager selection, appointment and monitoring processes	
☐ Details of the responsible investment activities carried out by managers on your behalf	
$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ E, S and/or G impacts and outcomes that have resulted from your managers' investments and/or active ownership	
□ Other	
Frequency	
☑ Quarterly or more frequently	
☐ Biannually	
□ Annually	
☐ Less frequently than annually	
☐ Ad-hoc/when requested	
URL	
https://www.pwri.nl/over-pwri/onze-vermogensbeheerder-gaat-in-gesprek	
Listed equity - Engagement	
Do you disclose?	
O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.	
 We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only. 	
We disclose to the public	
The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same	



YesNo

Disclosure to public and URL
Disclosure to public and URL
☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy
☑ Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals
☑ Number of engagements undertaken
☑ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
☑ Breakdown of engagements by region
☑ An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives
☑ Examples of engagement cases
☑ Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.)
☐ Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured
☑ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
☐ Other information
Frequency ☑ Quarterly or more frequently □ Biannually □ Annually □ Less frequently than annually □ Ad-hoc/when requested
URL URL
https://www.pwri.nl/over-pwri/onze-vermogensbeheerder-gaat-in-gesprek
Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting
Do you disclose?
O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
○ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
We disclose to the public
The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same
Yes



 \bigcirc No

Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclosure to public and URL	
Disclose all voting decisions	
O Disclose some voting decisions	
Only disclose abstentions and votes against management	
Frequency	
☑ Quarterly or more frequently	-
□ Biannually	
□ Annually	
☐ Less frequently than annually	
☐ Ad hoc/when requested	
URL http://vds.issproxy.com/SearchPage.php?CustomerID=3660	

Stichting Pensioenfonds Werk en (re)Integratie

Reported Information

Public version

Indirect – Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



M 01	Mano	latory				Public	Gateway	PRI
SAM	01.1	to implement of	on	your behalf	for all y	incorporation strate your listed equity and	gies you require your external d/or fixed income assets:	manage
		Active inves	tm	ent strategi	es			
Active in	nvestme	nt strategies		FI - SSA	FI - C	corporate (financial) FI - Corporate (non-financ	cial)
Screenir	ng				V		Ø	
Themati	С				V		V	
Integrati	on				V		V	
None of	the abov	⁄e		\checkmark				
		Passive inve	esti	ment strate	gies			

Passive investment strategies	Listed Equity		
Screening	\checkmark		
Thematic	V		
Integration	V		
None of the above			

	Selec	tion				
SAM 02 Mandatory		ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1	
		Indicate what RI-related information		typically covers in the majority of	selection	



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	Private equity	Property
Your organisation's investment strategy and how ESG objectives relate to it	V	V		☑		☑
ESG incorporation requirements	V	V	▽	V	V	V
ESG reporting requirements	V	\checkmark	V	V	V	V
Other						
No RI information covered in the selection documentation						

SAN		0 0
> A II	11	"

Explain how your organisation evaluates the investment manager's ability to align between your investment strategy and their investment approach

Strategy



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	Private equity	Property
Assess the time horizon of the investment manager's offering vs. your/beneficiaries' requirements	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V			V	
Assess the quality of investment policy and its reference to ESG	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	Ø	☑	V	V
Assess the investment approach and how ESG objectives are implemented in the investment process	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V			Ø	
Review the manager's firm-level vs. product-level approach to RI						
Assess the ESG definitions to be used	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	Ø	☑	V	V
Other						
None of the above						

ESG people/oversight



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	Private equity	Property	
Assess ESG expertise of investment teams	V	V	Ø	Ø	V	V	
Review the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation	V	V			V	V	
Review how is ESG implementation enforced /ensured							
Review the manager's RI- promotion efforts and engagement with the industry							
Other							
None of the above							

Process/portfolio construction/investment valuation



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	Private equity	Property
Review the process for ensuring the quality of the ESG data used	V	\checkmark	\checkmark			V
Review and agree the use of ESG data in the investment decision making process	\checkmark	V			V	
Review and agree the impact of ESG analysis on investment decisions	\checkmark	V			V	
Review and agree ESG objectives (e.g. risk reduction, return seeking, real-world impact)	V	V			V	
Review and agree manager's ESG risk framework	V	\checkmark	V	Ø	Ø	
Review and agree ESG risk limits at athe portfolio level (portfolio construction) and other ESG objectives	V	V	Ø			
Review how ESG materiality is evaluated by the manager						
Review process for defining and communicating on ESG incidents						
Review and agree ESG reporting frequency and detail						
Other, specify						
None of the above						

SAM 02.3	Indicate the selection process and its ESG/RI components							
☑ Review ES	☑ Review ESG/RI responses to RfP, RfI, DDQ etc.							
☑ Review responses to PRI's Limited Partners` Responsible Investment Due Diligence Questionnaire (LP DDQ)								
☑ Review pu	ublicly available information on ESG/RI							
☑ Review as	ssurance process on ESG/RI data and processes							
☐ Review PF	RI Transparency Reports							
☐ Request a	and discuss PRI Assessment Reports							
	with the potential shortlisted managers covering ESG/RI themes							
☑ Site visits	to potential managers offices							
☐ Other, spe	ecify							

SAM 02.4

When selecting external managers does your organisation set any of the following:

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	Private equity	Property	
ESG performance development targets							
ESG score	V	V	V	\checkmark	V	V	
ESG weight	V	V	V	V	V	V	
Real world economy targets							
Other RI considerations	V	V	V	V	V	V	
None of the above							

You selected an 'Other' option in table SAM 02.4 above, please specify

Is the manager a PRI signatory

SAM 02.5

Describe how the ESG information reviewed and discussed affects the selection decision making process.[OPTIONAL]

Responsible investment considerations form part of our process for selecting managers. A manager that displays integrity of thought and soundness of process, while seeking to optimise performance, will be more likely to run their portfolios in a principled manner. When asking questions regarding ESG, we enquire about the manager's own voting standards and whether they subscribe to the UNPRI. In addition, managers need to be willing to work with the Governance & Sustainable Investment team of BMO Global Asset Management and respect their stock exclusions list. On segregated accounts, BMO Global Asset Management manages the voting process, while certain stocks can be excluded according our requirements. Appointed managers will be continually monitored to ensure compliance with requirements.



	Арро	intme	ent			
AM (04	Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1
Ī	SAM 0	4.1	Indicate if in the majority of cases a does any of the following as part of			
	☑ Def □ Sets ☑ Rec □ Oth □ Oth	nes E s incer juires juires er, spe er, spe	dard benchmarks or ESG benchmarks SG objectives and/ or ESG related ex ntives and controls linked to the ESG reporting on ESG objectives the investment manager to adhere to ecify (1) ecify (2) ne above	cclusions/restriction		;
	SAM 0	4.2	Provide an example per asset class reporting requirements that would to			
			Asset class			
	☑ L	isted (equity (LE)			
			Benchmark			
			andard benchmark SG benchmark, specify			
			ESG Objectives			
			SG related strategy, specify			
		☑ ES	SG related investment restrictions, spe	ecify		
		- (- (- L	e have set restrictions for the equity moverall MSCI ESG score: 1.50 points I Carbon Intensity (1 and 2) Emissions sabour Management score: 10% higher Health & Safety score: 10% higher	higher score: 20% lower	ons are:	
			SG integration, specify			
		☑ Er	ngagement, specify			
		Er	ngagement is done for the equity portf	olio.		
		☑ Vo	oting, specify			
			oting is part of our ESG policy for the	equity mandates		
			omoting responsible investment			
			SG specific improvements			
			ther, specify			
			SG guidelines/regulation, principles/sta	andards, specify		
		٧V	e require PRI subscription			



	☑ We do n	ot set incentives and controls
		Reporting requirements
	O Monthly	
	Quarterly	/
	○ Bi-annua	ally
	Annually	
	O Ad-hoc/v	when requested
	Fixed income	- SSA (SSA)
√	Fixed income	- Corporate (financial)
		Benchmark
		d benchmark, specify
	50% Blo	omberg Barclays US Aggregate Credit/50% Euro Aggregate Credit
	□ ESG ber	nchmark, specify
		ESG Objectives
	☐ Other, sp	pecify
	☐ ESG rela	ated strategy, specify
	☑ ESG rela	ated investment restrictions, specify
	We are v	vorking on this. This will be implemented in 2020.
	☐ ESG inte	egration, specify
		ment, specify
	Engagen	nent is done for the Global Credits portfolio
	☐ Voting, s	pecify
	☐ Promotir	ng responsible investment
	☐ ESG spe	ecific improvements
	☐ Other, sp	again
	, -1	Decity
	•	delines/regulation, principles/standards, specify

Incentives and controls

Incentives and controls

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ We do not set incentives and controls



		Reporting requirements								
	O Ad-hoc/when requested									
	Annually									
	○ Bi-annually									
	Quarterly	y								
	O Monthly									
\checkmark	Fixed income	- Corporate (non-financial)								
		Benchmark								
		d benchmark, specify								
	50% Blo	omberg Barclays US Aggregate Credit/50% Euro Aggregate Credit								
	☐ ESG ber	nchmark, specify								
		ESG Objectives								
	☐ Other, sp	pecify								
	☐ ESG rela	ated strategy, specify								
	☑ ESG rela	ated investment restrictions, specify								
	We are v	working on this. This will be implemented in 2020.								
	☐ ESG inte	egration, specify								
		ment, specify								
		nent is done for the Global Credits portfolio								
	☐ Voting, s									
		ng responsible investment								
	·	ecific improvements								
	☐ Other, sp									
	□ ESG gui	delines/regulation, principles/standards, specify								
		Incontinue and controls								
		Incentives and controls								
	☑ We do n	ot set incentives and controls								
		Reporting requirements								
	O Ad-hoc/v	when requested								
	Annually									
	○ Bi-annually									
	Quarterly	у								
○ Monthly										
	Private equity									
	Property									



	SAM 04.3	SAM 04.3 Indicate which of these actions your organisation might take if any of the requirements are not met						
	☑ Discuss requirements not met and set project plan to rectify							
	☑ Place investment manager on a "watch list"							
	☐ Track and investigate reason for non-compliance							
	☐ Re-negotiate fees							
	☑ Failing	all actions, terminate contract with the r	manager					
	☐ Other, specify							
	☐ No action	ons are taken if any of the ESG require	ments are not met					
	Monitoring							
SAM 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1								
<i>O7</i>	Table Cole Assessed Titl 1							
	SAM 05.1 When monitoring managers, indicate which of the following types of responsible investment information your organisation typically reviews and evaluates							

	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non- financial)	Private equity	Property
ESG objectives linked to investment strategy (with examples)	V					
Evidence on how the ESG incorporation strategy(ies) affected the investment decisions and financial / ESG performance of the portfolio/fund	V					
Compliance with investment restrictions and any controversial investment decisions	V		Ø			
ESG portfolio characteristics	V					
How ESG materiality has been evaluated by the manager in the monitored period						
Information on any ESG incidents						
Metrics on the real economy influence of the investments						
PRI Transparency Reports						
PRI Assessment Reports						
RI-promotion and engagement with the industry to enhance RI implementation	V	V	V	Ø	V	V
Changes to the oversight and responsibilities of ESG implementation						
Other general RI considerations in investment management agreements; specify						
None of the above						

SAM 05.2

When monitoring external managers, does your organisation set any of the following to measure compliance/progress



	LE	FI - SSA	FI - Corporate (financial)	FI - Corporate (non-financial)	Private equity	Property
ESG score	V					
ESG weight	V					
ESG performance minimum threshold	V					
Real world economy targets						
Other RI considerations	V	V	V	V	V	V
None of the above						

If you select any `Other` option(s), specify

Is the manager a PRI signatory

	Outpu	uts ar	nd outcomes				
SAM 09 Mand		Man	datory	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,6	
	SAM 0	9.1	Provide examples of how ESG issu and/or monitoring process for your			appointment	
	□ Add	Exam	pple 1				
	□ Add	l Exam	pple 2				
	□ Add	l Exam	nple 3				
	☐ Add Example 4						
	□ Add	l Exam	nple 5				
	□ Add	l Exam	nple 6				
	□ Add	l Exam	pple 7				
	✓ We are not able to provide examples						



Stichting Pensioenfonds Werk en (re)Integratie

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Overview

LEA 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1

Indicate whether your organisation has an active ownership policy (includes engagement and/or voting).

Yes

LEA 01.2

Attach or provide a URL to your active ownership policy.

- O Attachment provided:
- URL provided:

URL

https://www.pwri.nl/client/pwri/upload/pdf/Algemene%20Richtlijnen%20Corporate%20Governance%202017.pdf

LEA 01.3

Indicate what your active engagement policy covers:

General approach to Active Ownership

- ☑ Conflicts of interest
- ☑ Alignment with national stewardship code requirements
- ☑ Assets/funds covered by active ownership policy
- ☑ Expectations and objectives
- ☑ Engagement approach

Engagement

- $\ensuremath{\square}$ ESG issues
- ☑ Prioritisation of engagement
- $\ensuremath{\square}$ Transparency of engagement activities
- $\ensuremath{\square}$ Due diligence and monitoring process
- ☑ Insider information
- ☑ Escalation strategies
- ☐ Service Provider specific criteria
- ☐ Other; (specify)
- $\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ (Proxy) voting approach



	Voting								
	☑ ESG issues								
	☑ Prioritisation and scope of voting activities								
	✓ Methods of voting								
	☑ Transparency of voting activities								
	☑ Regional voting practice approaches								
	☑ Filing or co-filing resolutions								
	☑ Company dialogue pre/post-vote								
	☑ Decision-making processes								
	☑ Securities lending processes								
	□ Other; (specify)								
□ O:	ther								
□No	one of the above								
○ No									
LEA 01.4	Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?								
Yes									
LEA 01	Where active ownership activities are conducted by service providers, indicate whether your active ownership policy covers any of the following:								
☑ Outli	☑ Outline of service provider`s role in implementing your organisation's active ownership policy								
$\hfill \square$ Description of considerations included in service provider selection and agreements									
☑ Iden	☑ Identification of key ESG frameworks which service providers must follow								
☑ Outli	☑ Outline of information sharing requirements of service providers								
☑ Desc	☑ Description of service provider monitoring processes								
□ Othe	☐ Other; (specify)								
□ None	e of the above								
○ No									

LEA 01.6

Additional information [optional]

All our engagement activities are outsourced to BMO Global Asset Management. Every year PWRI with other clients of BMO Global Asset Management discuss engagement priorities for the coming year. Through its engagement activities, BMO Global Asset Management aims to enhance investor value by encouraging the adoption and development of industry best practice around environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues. This engagement is based on sustained and constructive dialogue with companies focused on material ESG risks. BMO Global Asset Management's approach to engagement is focused on driving changes in corporate behaviour, policy and practice to improve risk management and protect long-term business performance. Their Governance and Sustainable Investment team is responsible for carrying out engagement activities. As an asset manager, they also benefit from long-standing relationships with company executives and high levels of corporate access, which facilitates engagement and analysis.

Engagement



LEA 02 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1

Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Reason for interaction
☐ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
☐ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
☑ We do not engage via internal staff
☐ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
☐ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
☑ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence it) on ESG issues
☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
\ensuremath{ert} To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
☐ We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.2

Indicate whether your organisation plays a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts.

Yes

LEA 02.3

Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- ☑ We discuss the topic of the engagement (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- ☑ We discuss the rationale for the engagement
- ☑ We discuss the objectives of the engagement
- $\ensuremath{\square}$ We select the companies to be engaged with
- $\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ We discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- ☑ We discuss the next steps for engagement activity
- ☑ We participate directly in certain engagements with our service provider
- ☐ Other; specify
- \square We play no role in engagements that our service provider conducts.

 \bigcirc No

LEA 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.

Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements
Service-provider	
engagements	Service-provider engagements
	☑ Geography/market of the companies
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors
	☑ Exposure (size of holdings)
	☑ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred
	☑ Responses to divestment pressure
	☑ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries
	☑ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)
	☑ Follow-up from a voting decision
	☐ Client request
	☑ Breaches of international norms
	☐ Other; (specify)
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our service providers

 \bigcirc No

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1 Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.

Service-provider engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities
	We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by our service providers

LEA 05 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

LEA 05.1

Indicate whether you monitor and/or review engagement outcomes.



Service-provider engagements		 Yes, in all cases Yes, in a majority of cases Yes, in a minority of cases We do not monitor, or revout by our service providers. 	s iew engagement o	utcomes when the engagement is	s carried
LEA 05.2	Indicate activitie		following to monito	or and/or review the progress of e	ngagement
Service-provider engagements	r	☑ Track and/or monitor to met	progress against de	ectives efined objectives and/or KPIs ion taken when original objectives es on a continuous basis	s are not
LEA 06	/landatory		Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2,4
LEA 06.1	Indicate unsucc		has an escalation s	strategy when engagements are	
LEA		dicate the escalation strategi gagements.	es used at your orç	ganisation following unsuccessful	
☑ Is ☑ Fi ☑ Vi ☑ Vi ☑ Si □ Sc ☑ R	suing a publi iling/submitting oting against oting against ubmitting not eeking legal educing expo- ivestment	ng a shareholder resolution the re-election of the relevant the board of directors or the minations for election to the remedy / litigation osure (size of holdings)	e annual financial r	eport	
□ O ○ No	ther; specify				
LEA 07	/oluntary	_	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2

LEA 07.1

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation`s engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.



Type of engagement	Insights shared
Service-provider engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionally
	O No

1	FΑ	07	2

Indicate the practices used to ensure that information and insights gained through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

 \bigcirc No

☑ Involving i	nvestment decision-makers when developing an engagement programme				
☑ Holding in	☑ Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations				
☐ Using IT p	platforms/systems that enable data sharing				
☐ Internal pr levels	\Box Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels				
☐ Other; spe	☐ Other; specify				
□ None					
154072	Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with your				

Type of engagement

Insights shared

O Yes, systematically

• Yes, occasionally

LEA 07.4 Additional information. [Optional

Information shared through publications on website.

LEA 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

LEA 08.1 Indicate whether you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Service-provider engagements	 Yes, we track the number of service-provider engagements in full Yes, we partially track the number of our service-provider engagements
	○ We do not track



LEA 08.2

Additional information. [Optional]

We receive on a regular basis reports from BMO GAM on engagements. The reports include number of engagements.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions LEA 12 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 2 LEA 12.1 Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions. Approach

- O We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.
- O We hire service providers who make voting recommendations and/or provide research that we use to guide our voting decisions.
- \bigcirc We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf, except in some pre-defined scenarios where we review and make voting decisions.
- We hire service providers who make voting decisions on our behalf.

Based on

- The service-provider voting policy we sign off on
- Our own voting policy
- Our clients' requests or policies
- Other (explain)

LEA 12.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure that your agreed-upon voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

Our service provider carries out daily and weekly vote audits to ensure that each step in the voting process is followed and that votes are cast in accordance with their and our own policies. In the case of standard votes, the proxy voting advisor will cast the votes in accordance with the relevant BMO Global Asset Management governance policy (which reflects our own) with oversight from relevant individuals. In the case of non-standard votes, the governance specialist for the market or region concerned will directly instruct the vote.

To ensure reliable execution, BMO contracts directly with ISS for electronic proxy voting execution services via their ProxyExchange platform. Through this system and working with custodians and Broadridge, ISS generates electronic ballots for all companies held in client accounts and then processes voted ballots, which are executed through the client's custodial chain. Except for the actual voting decisions, other aspects of vote execution (i.e. ballot delivery, vote processing and certain elements of vote administration) are outsourced to ISS.

Voting administration matters, including client set-up for proxy voting and reporting and ongoing administration of client accounts, are dealt with internally by the GSI team. GSI's Data & Systems and Data & Reporting analysts will liaise as necessary with the clients' custodians and other agents to ensure smooth operation of the voting process. BMO also has access to a large operations support team within ISS who help us resolve any operational/administration issues.

ISS also provides BMO with global proxy voting research, which includes analysis of resolutions. BMO uses ISS research to understand details of companies' proposals. Please note that BMO does 58

not implement ISS' standard voting recommendations, they cast their votes in accordance with voting policies agreed with the client and on the basis of their judgement.



Their voting process uses a risk-based approach to achieve high quality voting while delivering comprehensive coverage of a wide portfolio of stocks. They deploy their specialist governance team on the most complex and sensitive cases and partner with ISS, to deliver voting on the simpler, routine votes through the careful and consistent application of detailed in-house voting policies. In practice, the process works as follows:

Meeting notifications and ballots for clients' accounts will appear in the voting queue on the electronic voting platform used by BMO;

Voting policy will be applied to each shareholder meeting through an automated voting recommendation process;

The voting queue will be checked every morning to determine upcoming votes, particularly those that may require analyst intervention;

All votes designated for analyst intervention in the voting allocation spreadsheet are voted by the team members. This includes large holdings, priority engagement companies, potentially controversial votes, votes on investment matters and issues not covered by voting policies, and shareholder meetings of companies engaged by BMO;

Analysts monitor the voting queue for any votes designated for analyst intervention, and will look at the meeting materials as early as possible to allow sufficient time for research. When analysing the ballot and determining the vote, GSI analysts will:

check the internal database for voting and engagement history to inform current vote;

read proxy research reports on company and review supporting materials (e.g. annual report, CSR report, external reports) for further information on key issues, as relevant;

contact company if clarification is needed to determine vote direction;

determine the intended vote direction and consult with colleagues, fund managers and clients if necessary, particularly in instances where the vote concerns an investment matter or could create controversy. Analysts will also determine if there are any significant ESG issues that go beyond the ballot that should be addressed through engagement outside the annual meeting.

Trained analysts are responsible for voting in each market covered by our voting service;

Automated voting recommendations can be manually overridden any time prior to the cut-off date. If a vote needs to be cast after the cut-off date but prior to the meeting date on a client instruction, ISS will be alerted by BMO to ensure execution of the vote. Their internal "voting policeman" monitors the voting queue and alerts analysts if votes remain outstanding on the cut-off date (e.g. late ballots)

GSI team regularly audits the votes auto-executed under the voting policy to make certain that our voting policies are applied properly by ISS;

Clients who want to monitor voting decisions outside the normal reporting cycle can receive a preview of voting intentions for an entire portfolio or a subset of holdings. BMO can accommodate clients who want to vote, by exception, in a particular way on particular resolutions; this request would need to be triggered by the client. Alternatively, clients can have a "look-through" into the ISS platform.

BMO engages companies before and after general meetings, using their vote to deliver change. At the start of each year, the GSI team sends a copy of its Corporate Governance Guidelines to each investee company, outlining their position and voting strategy for all key governance issues. Through our pre-vote engagement, they highlight the most common governance concerns in each market and invite companies to contact them if there are special circumstances that should be borne in mind when voting at their meetings. After any shareholder meeting, BMO engages companies again to explain the reasons for votes cast in opposition to management; this provides further opportunities to raise key corporate governance and sustainability issues not addressed in the ballot.

Voting is highly integrated with engagement. For priority companies, and for resolutions which are controversial and fall outside BMO's standard voting policy, BMO has governance experts in the team.

May

LEA 15 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 2



Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI
☐ Other				
☑ Client req	uest			
	oncerned significant shareholdings	5		
	oncerned companies exposed to co		ific ESG issues	
☑ Vote(s) co	oncerned certain ESG issues			
☑ Vote(s) co	oncerned selected sectors			
☑ Vote(s) co	oncerned selected markets			
LEA 15.2	Indicate the reasons for raising	your concerns with	these companies ahead of vot	ing.
O Neither w	e nor our service provider(s) raise	concerns with com	panies ahead of voting	
O 24-1%				
O 49-25%				
• 74-50%				
○ 99-75%				
○ 100%				
LEA 15.1	service providers acting on your		in the reporting year in which w cerns with companies ahead of	

LEA 16	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2

recommendations. Indicate this as a percentage out of all eligible votes.

- 100%
- **99-75%**
- 74-50%
- O 49-25%
- O 24-1%
- O We do not communicate the rationale to companies
- O Not applicable because we and/or our service providers did not abstain or vote against management recommendations

LEA 16.2

Indicate the reasons why your organisation would communicate to companies, the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations.

- ✓ Vote(s) concern selected sectors
- ☑ Vote(s) concern certain ESG issues
- $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Vote(s) concern companies exposed to controversy on specific ESG issues
- ☑ Vote(s) concern significant shareholdings
- ☑ Client request
- ☐ Other



LEA 16.3

In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for abstaining or voting against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public.

Yes

 \bigcirc No

LEA 16.4

Additional information. [Optional]

All of BMO GAM's voting records are accessible here:

http://vds-staging.issproxy.com/SearchPage.php?CustomerID=3660&StagingPassword=TRiTenpXpo

Voting reports are shared with reo clients, and can be made publicly available by reo clients, if desired.

The corporate governance guidelines can be found here:

https://www.bmogam.com/nl-nl/institutional/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/bmo-global-asset-management-algemene-richtlijnen-corporate-governance.pdf

LEA 17 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

LEA 17.1

For listed equities in which you or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year.

We do track or collect this information

Votes cast (to the nearest 1%)

%

98

Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated

- Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions
- Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
- Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted
- O We do not track or collect this information



LEA 17.2	Explain your reason(s) for not voting	g on certain holding	gs	
☑ Shares v	were blocked			
☑ Notice, k	pallots or materials not received on time)		
☐ Missed o	deadline			
☐ Geograp	phical restrictions (non-home market)			
□ Cost				
☐ Conflicts	s of interest			
☐ Holdings	s deemed too small			
✓ Administ placement)	trative impediments (e.g., power of attor)	rney requirements,	ineligibility due to participation in	share
☐ Client re	quest			
□ Other (e	xplain)			
18 Vo	duntary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2

LEA 18.1 ha

Indicate whether you track the voting instructions that you or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf have issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	79
Against (opposing) management recommendations	16
Abstentions	5

100%

 \bigcirc No, we do not track this information

LEA 18.3

In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies which you have engaged.

30



19	Man	datory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
LEA 1	9.1	Indicate whether your organisation	has a formal es	calation strategy following uns	successful voting.
YesNo	3				
LEA 1	9.2	Indicate the escalation strategies us against management.	sed at your orga	anisation following abstentions	and/or votes
☑ Coi	ntactin	g the company's board			
☑ Coi	ntacting	g the company's senior management			
□ Iss	uing a	public statement explaining the rational	ale		
☑ Initi	ating i	ndividual/collaborative engagement			
□ Dire	ecting	service providers to engage			
□ Red	ducing	exposure (holdings) / divestment			

 \square Other

Stichting Pensioenfonds Werk en (re)Integratie

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Conf	dence building measures					
CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General		
				5		
CM1 (year has undergone:	rted information you have pr	ovided for your PRI Transparency	/ Report this		
☐ Thi	rd party assurance over selected re	esponses from this year's PI	RI Transparency Report			
	rd party assurance over data pointsnses this year	s from other sources that ha	ve subsequently been used in yo	ur PRI		
	rd party assurance or audit of the c iis year)	correct implementation of RI	processes (that have been repor-	ted to the		
	ernal audit of the correct implement ed to the PRI this year)	ation of RI processes and/o	r accuracy of RI data (that have b	een		
☑ Inte	ernal verification of responses before	re submission to the PRI (e.	g. by the CEO or the board)			
(Whole PRI Transparency Report	has been internally verified				
	Selected data has been internally	/ verified				
	er, specify					
□ No	ne of the above					
CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General		
CM1 (We undertook third party a	assurance on last year's PR	l Transparency Report			
O Wh	ole PRI Transparency Report was	assured last year				
○ Sel	ected data was assured in last yea	r's PRI Transparency Repor	rt			
• We	did not assure last year`s PRI Tra	nsparency report				
O No	ne of the above, we were in our pre	eparation year and did not re	eport last year.			
CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General		
CM1 (We undertake confidence Transparency Report:	building measures that are	unspecific to the data contained i	n our PRI		
□We	☐ We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme					
☐ We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report						
□ ES	☐ ESG audit of holdings					
☐ Other, specify						
☑ No	✓ None of the above					
CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General		



CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- O Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- O Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year`s PRI Transparency report

11 07	Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	General
CM1	07.1	Indicate who has reviewed/verified Report . and if this applies to select			
Who	has co	nducted the verification			
		her Chief-Level staff			
		Sign-off or review of responses	3		
	☑ Sign-	off			
	□ Revie	ew of responses			
☑ Th	ne Board	d			
		Sign-off or review of responses			
	☑ Sign-				
	_	ew of responses			
□ Inv	vestmen	nt Committee			
□Со	ompliand	ce Function			
□RI	/ESG Te	eam			
□ Inv	vestmen	nt Teams			

☐ Legal Department☐ Other (specify)